

setting new goals and exceeding them. It means putting human settlements on Mars, as a jumping-off point for exploring the universe in which we live.

The biggest single obstacle to all of this is that medieval monstrosity known as the British Empire. It is the empire which is the beneficiary of the bailout program, at the expense of the people. It is the empire that pushes the superstition known as “man-made global warming,” as a way of shutting down human progress and killing off two-thirds of the world’s population. It is the empire that relentlessly pushes the police state, by staging phony incidents and using those incidents to justify ever more intrusive and un-Constitutional measures. It is the empire that plays on our impulses to keep us dumb, blind, and passive, while it destroys all we hold dear.

But the British Empire is also irrational, a wild beast acting on instinct as it tries to protect a world view that should long ago have passed into history. Its effort to use the Copenhagen Climate Change summit to set up what amounts to a world government under the guise of environmental concerns, was a failure, as many nations chose survival over submission. Though it is still powerful, and far from defeated, for the first time in a long time, the smell of its own blood is in the water.

It is, after all, the British Empire’s derivatives-fuelled financial system which collapsed. They failed, and then demanded that we commit suicide to rescue them. In the U.S., the Federal government quickly complied, but the population rebelled, and that rebellion has become a major factor of resistance. President Obama and the Democratic leadership in Congress have given the Brits virtually everything they demanded, but they are increasingly hated for doing so. And their power is eroding by the minute.

Other nations, seeing this rising resistance in the U.S., and knowing the Hell which awaits them if they capitulate to British demands, are emboldened to defend their own sovereignty. The Russia-China economic development accords, signed in October 2009, are a good example of this, and a demonstration that we can buck the empire and survive. This process escalated at Copenhagen, drying up the British wet dream of global dictatorship.

The fight is not over, but it has begun—and that is the most positive step of 2009. LaRouche, as the most creative generator of ideas alive today, is *the* driver of this movement toward a new Renaissance. He is the general who can win the war, and he needs your support.

2010 is the year all those who agree with LaRouche must come out publicly and say so, and support the LaRouche Plan. Don’t be afraid, don’t hide behind institutional excuses, or throw up other silly barriers. It is time for all good men and women to take a stand, to support LaRouche and the principles embodied in the U.S. Constitution. Join us on the front lines, and enjoy striking a blow for freedom.

It is time to finally retire the little shrinking queen and her army of sycophants, and put the world back on track. They deserve it, and so do the rest of us. Let us make 2010 the first year of the new Renaissance.

johnhoeft@larouchepub.com

Bad Times for Some

Poor Paul Krugman

by Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.

Jan. 2—One way or another, it appears that there are bad Times ahead for poor Paul Krugman. As it stands at the present moment, either Poor Paul goes down with the U.S. economic ship, which is bad for Paul’s state of mind, or the U.S. finds a happier future as a member of the Four-Power Agreement among the U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, which will make Paul about as mad as the legendary Rumpelstiltskin on the day the evil dwarf did not get the baby.

In one way of spinning the situation around the *Times*, Paul has been a kind of “teacher’s pet” of his particular sort of graduating class. His problem, rather like that of the captain of the Titanic, is that he stayed too long with the crowd on board what seemed like the more comfortable political place to be, a bit shoddy these days, but, apparently the pay checks keep coming, at least up to now.

If the U.S.A. keeps on its present track, under the crowd rooting for Obama, then Paul goes down with Obama and the rest of the U.S. economy, soon. If the U.S.A. does not go under, it will be because I have been right all along. Paul’s reputation as a forecaster of China’s future, is absolutely ruined by his own churlish ambitions.

The essential fact of this matter, is that the present, London-based financial world is doomed, whatever else

may come, and Paul is clinging fast to his stateroom on that doomed ship which is the world's present, London-centered monetary system. Like the bettor whose horse came in last, Paul the betting man has placed himself among the losers, either way: if he is betting on President Obama's cause, the track is about to be closed down, and no bettor is paid; if the U.S. recovers, Paul is eternally pitied for the foolish bettor he was. For Poor Paul, like Her Majesty, the new year will be a horrible one for his ego, and, almost certainly, his purse.

Remember Weimar

Lazarus was fortunate. For the present British monarchy and its worshippers, there is no recovery, no future beyond the short run to doom immediately ahead. The latest turn in the Obama Administration's perspective is a Weimar-style hyperinflation on a global scale, and soon. Fat, short-lived lies put to one side, there never was a sign of recovery under President Obama, and, almost certainly, never will be.

I restate the point I have just made, in the following way.

First of all, there never will be a recovery of any part of this planet for as long as the present Anglo-American policies of the British monarchy and its American Congressional toadies remain in power on the planet. Directly contrary to Poor Paul's foolishness, only if China wins out over London and its Royal

toadies at the *Times*, is there any chance for the U.S. economy at this time.

The only way in which a very early plunge into the darkest and deepest depression ever could be avoided, is putting the world as a whole through a sudden change from the present monetarist system, to a global, fixed-exchange-rate credit-system, through a fully Hamiltonian bankrupting of all financial claims which do not qualify under the equivalent of a President Franklin Roosevelt-style, Glass-Steagall reform, and that suddenly about now: a resumption of a Hamiltonian system of national banking as a way of organizing a global partnership among respectively sovereign nation-state powers grouped around a protectionist program built around the world's most powerful sovereign nation-state republics: The U.S.A., Russia, China, and India, and the sovereign partners associated with that formation.

Wall Street and its like in London must take the grandest bath known to modern world history. The road to recovery is a return to capital-intensive growth of production and associated basic economic infrastructure, all based on the immediate perspective of a global nuclear fission and thermonuclear fusion age which brings humanity to massive development of both the Moon and Mars during the remaining decades of this present, young century.

Bet on the future, rather than the *New York Times'* often dubious past.

Krugman Declares Economic Warfare Against China

Jan. 1—In his New Year's Day *New York Times* op-ed, Paul Krugman wrote: "I predict that 2010 will be the year of China. And not in a good way. Actually, the biggest problems with China involve climate change. But today I want to focus on currency policy.... Instead, it follows a mercantilist policy, keeping its trade surplus artificially high. And in today's depressed world, that policy is, to put it bluntly, predatory...."

"My back-of-the-envelope calculations suggest that for the next couple of years Chinese mercantilism may end up reducing U.S. employment by around 1.4 million jobs...."

"The larger point is that the same forces that make Chinese mercantilism so damaging right now also mean that China has little or no financial leverage. Again, right now the world is awash in cheap money. So if China were to start selling dollars, there's no reason to think it would significantly raise U.S. interest rates. It would probably weaken the dollar against other currencies, but that would be good, not bad, for U.S. competitiveness and employment. So if the Chinese do dump dollars, we should send them a thank-you note...."

"The bottom line is that Chinese mercantilism is a growing problem, and the victims of that mercantilism have little to lose from a trade confrontation. So I'd urge China's government to reconsider its stubbornness. Otherwise, the very mild protectionism it's currently complaining about will be the start of something much bigger."