

industrial production to full capacity; the expansion of agricultural production to its full, technologically feasible limit in this country and abroad; and the further expansion of capital equipment production capacities.

With regard to foreign policy, the only rational basis for the United States to re-establish fruitful and mutually beneficial relations with the rest of humanity is again indicated by EAPA. Once we commit the industrial potential of this country to the rapid development of such regions as the Middle East's Fertile Crescent, the Ganges-Brahmaputra riparian system of Bangladesh and India, the potentially fertile African Sahel and the Amazon and Rio de la Plata regions in Latin America, we will immediately solve our present tragic unemployment problem. At the same time we shall have provided a powerful stimulus for the most rapid rates for sophisticated technological and industrial expansion in this country.

Preliminary feasibility studies and appropriate bills corresponding to this programmatic perspective have already been made available to many of you by the U.S. Labor Party. My associates and I will continue to be at your disposal for the further clarification and elaboration of these objectives.

These objectives have the unqualified support of growing masses of working people wherever the U.S. Labor Party organizes. It is these people who are now the prime victims of this economic and political collapse. It is these people who have been your political constituents. They are watching your political conduct in the present crisis and they are considering in growing numbers the necessity that they

themselves must take direct responsibility for the destiny of this country. They are educating themselves in both the dangers and the wonderful opportunities of the present world conjuncture. They know that ultimately it is their humanity and their creative endeavors that are at stake on all key world policy issues.

They know, because we have told them, that this is a moment of great peril and of great opportunity for humanity, and therefore for the United States Congress as well. This country has the potential to immediately move into a period of intense productive collaboration with the world which will absolutely dwarf such petty undertakings as the Marshall Plan. A mere preliminary indication of how ready the world is for such a collaboration is the recent Iraqi and Algerian government proposals in which they indicated a willingness not only to lower oil prices in return for much needed industrial and agricultural development but also to establish a preliminary \$35 billion development fund with which to purchase needed industrial goods for the development of the Fertile Crescent, Bangladesh and the Sahel.

The opportunity for such a brilliant future for humanity is as readily available before us at this moment as the thermonuclear destruction the Rockefeller family is desperately instigating. The United States Congress is standing smack in the middle between these two courses and it has received advice on the necessary course of action: Impeach Rockefeller!

Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr.  
USLP Presidential Candidate for 1976

# Stop Rockefeller's Endgame Scenario!

April 5 — Encroachments and provocations by the increasingly desperate Rockefeller forces could in the immediate period ahead force the Soviet Union into a fight-or-die situation, from a military standpoint. Two possible developments, both coherent with present Rockefeller political developments and high on the list of Rockefeller policy options, are as follows:

1. A provocation in the Middle East endangering the existence of Iraq as a pro-Socialist, pro-Soviet Mideast power;

2. A form of direct U.S. or U.S.-guided interference in Eastern Europe.

In both types of confrontation, the very existence of the Soviet Union would be threatened and a military counter would have to be considered. Strategic considerations indicate that a limited Soviet nuclear first strike against NATO missile and communications installations in Western Europe, followed by an immediate tank-led attack and occupation of key areas of Western Europe, Turkey and Cran would be the most advantageous Soviet option.

Under no circumstances can the Soviet Union afford to absorb a Schlesinger-type limited U.S.-NATO "tactical" nuclear first strike against its medium and long-range missile installations. Such a U.S.-NATO "limited" strike would either be aimed at immediate Soviet-Warsaw Pact capitulation or else be a prelude to large-scale U.S. Inter-Continental Ballistic Missile (ICBM) attack in Phase Two. On the other hand, a pre-emptive strike of the type outlined above would undercut any limited NATO response.

There may be some NATO strategists who believe they can counter a combined conventional, limited nuclear attack on Western Europe with the same type of weaponry — but we need not concern ourselves here with the more stupid of our enemies. It is generally conceded that through the Fulda Gap, Soviet and Warsaw Pact tanks would reach the Rhine in a matter of hours and force NATO troops into defensive positions in the Low Countries and the Brenner-Tricste area.

There is little doubt that the

strategic necessity of a pre-emptive Soviet move in response to Rockefeller provocations in Western Europe and the Middle East is understood by at least some NATO-Pentagon strategists as the optimal Soviet move, putting NATO and U.S. forces in the area into indefensible positions.

These same strategists well know that confronted with the imminent possibility of a Soviet pre-emptive strike, their only strategic choice would be a counterforce ICBM first strike of the kind indicated by Schlesinger et al. In a series of press briefings and speeches between January-May 1974: a massive strike against the bulk of immovable Soviet ICBM installations mixed with scattered strikes against Soviet population and industrial centers.

Faced with a "counterforce" nuclear first strike by the U.S. against their missile silos vulnerable to such an attack, the Soviet Union would be forced into a "doomsday machine" response; that is, as soon as a significant number of ICBMs show up on their early warning radar screens, they would carefully aim and fire their ICBMs at North American industrial and populations centers. Both the Soviet Union and North America would be eliminated, and significant parts of Eastern and Western Europe would be destroyed. Only Latin America, Asia, and Africa would have survivors.

The ICLC presents this interlocking scenario of nuclear moves and countermoves (or "endgame" strategy) to make indisputably clear to everyone where the policies presently embarked on by the Rockefeller clique must lead. It is no longer a matter of Rockefeller policies precipitating a nuclear holocaust in the long-run; cabal policies, if allowed to continue, must converge on such holocaust in the immediate period ahead.

This is indicated in particular by Rockefeller's recent call to service of such veteran Cold Warriors as Walt Rostow, insane McGeorge Bundy, and others responsible for the Southeast Asia developments in the past decade. Hundreds of thousands of lives were lost and some of the richest

agricultural countries in the world were destroyed. Rostow, at this point — no matter how perverse his sense of achievement — would have to regard his life a failure if he had to give up exactly as Vietnam is lost to the U.S. empire. Driven by desperation, Rockefeller appears to be determined to give him another shot at "victory" — on a world scale.

In the not-too-distant future, Rockefeller policies will force the hand of conservative and reactionary forces, which will favor conventional war rather than nuclear holocaust. A conservative, right-wing U.S. General might react to a Rockefeller nuclear first strike order by simply pulling the gun on the honorable Vice President. Whether or not this occurs, Rockefeller's endgame policies can only force a right-wing isolationist military dictatorship in the United States immediately.

This insanity must be stopped. We must confront Congress and the American people with the following choices:

1. Be part of playing out the above scenario to the end and follow Rockefeller-Rostow down the path of nuclear destruction for the human race;

2. Sit back and hope that right-wing layers in the military will take a stand, thus preparing the way for a military dictatorship.

3. Stand up in the House and Senate right now (or demand that your elected representatives do so) and demand the immediate recall or the impeachment of those politicians whose policies — through a mixture of stupidity and criminal intent — are bringing the world ever closer to nuclear disaster.

We name specifically Nelson A. Rockefeller, Vice President of the U.S.; Henry Kissinger, Secretary of State; James Rodney Schlesinger, Secretary of Defense; Senator Hugh Scott, top Rockefeller enforcer in the Republican Senate Minority; and Senator Hubert Horatio Humphrey, chief Rockefeller stooge in the Senate Democratic Majority.

These individuals must immediately be removed from office and their policies repudiated before disaster ensues as the outcome of their criminal pursuits.

# THIS WEEK'S HEADLINES

## ROCKEFELLER'S NUCLEAR BRINKSMANSHIP

### SCLESINGER T.V. INTERVIEW: DECLARES SHOW-DOWN COMING WITH SOVIETS

March 31 (IPS)--Secretary of Defense James R. Sclesinger said today that the United States would not "expect to readily tolerate" another Mideast oil embargo, and that if another embargo should occur the U.S. reaction "might be far more severe" than in October 1973. Sclesinger aimed all his threats at the USSR.

Sclesinger characterized the current world situation as "the most difficult period since the post-war period of 1948-49," and accused the Soviet Union of viewing with "delight" the present "unsettlement of the framework" of U.S. foreign policy.

### ROCKEFELLER TRIES MIDEAST NUCLEAR BLACKMAIL OF USSR

March 31 (IPS)--U.S. Senator Mike Mansfield, deployed by Nelson Rockefeller to Mexico this week, has called for the United Nations to police the Middle East, backed by nuclear weapons. In a "ping pong" type move to refocus tensions in the Middle East while the Iberian peninsula is apparently calm, Mansfield's sabre rattling echoes a similar announcement by Representative Findlay (D.-Ill.), author of the Atlantic Union Bill for a supranational Nato government. Findlay's Middle East "peace plan", which calls for the return of Israeli occupied territory, the guarantee of borders, a permanent U.N. police force under the U.N.'s Security Council, and West Bank Palestinian self-determination, also calls for U.S. nuclear weaponry to enforce it all.

### SOURCES CONFIRM ROCKY PUSHING ISRAEL TO WAR

April 1 (IPS)--Highly-placed sources, with direct knowledge of the discussion which took place when top Rockefeller cabalists met in Washington, D.C. yesterday with Henry Kissinger to "re-assess" U.S. foreign policy in the Mideast, confirmed today that Rockefeller is taking a hard anti-Israel stance in order to force Israel into declaring war on its Arab neighbors. The sources divulged that the meeting "agreed that Israel made a grave mistake in not accepting Sadat's offer" and that, while agreeing that "Israel has a right to live, she would no longer be allowed to dictate U.S. policy."

One source added that "there was general agreement at yesterday's meeting that Israel would try to go over the heads of

the U.S. administration and the American people and try to pressure Congress" into maintaining a pro-Israeli posture. Commenting further, a source added that Israeli "inflexibility" would "lead to war" in the Mideast, "but we won't let Israel get away with this."

#### SCLESINGER THREATENS MIDEAST INTERVENTION

April 1 (IPS)--Defense Secretary James Sclesinger yesterday called the Mideast a "tinderbox" and threatened U.S. military intervention as a major U.S. policy option. In a television interview for the Public Broadcasting Service, Sclesinger said the U.S. would be "reluctant" to agree to new military aid to Israel while the Administration "re-evaluation" was in process. Sclesinger also admitted that massive U.S. arms shipments have been delivered to Israel through April 1, and that arms under these previous agreements will be delivered as scheduled, thus confirming that the announcement was intended purely for the purpose of increasing tension and war hysteria in the Mideast.

#### ROCKEFELLER PLANS CONFRONTATION WITH SOVIETS; USLP RELEASES EMERGENCY PEACE PLAN

WASHINGTON, D.C., April 1 (IPS)--Based on intelligence reports from high-level sources, the United States Labor Party today warned that the Rockefeller forces are planning a "Cuban missile crisis"-style confrontation with the Soviet Union in the Mideast in the very near future--possibly measured in days--and released an emergency peace plan to counter the Rockefeller escalation.

A strategy to isolate Israel and to force that country to suicidally precipitate a new Mideast war, a new Arab oil embargo, and a potential nuclear confrontation with the Soviet Union was decided upon yesterday at an extra-governmental meeting convened here at 3 p.m. by Secretary of State Henry Kissinger and attended by David Rockefeller, John J. McCloy, George Ball, Cyrus Vance, W. Averell Harriman, McGeorge Bundy, Dean Rusk, Robert McNamara, C. Douglas Dillon and others--all well-known architects of the Berlin crisis, the Cuban missile crisis and the Indochina war.

Sources close to those in attendance in the meeting confirmed that the participants "focused on the Mideast" and unanimously agreed that "Israeli inflexibility will lead to war," and that "Israel has to learn that it cannot dictate policy to the United States." Later that evening, Defense Secretary Sclesinger cited the Mideast as the potential flare-point for a U.S.-Soviet confrontation and threatened that the U.S. could not be expected to "tolerate another Mideast oil embargo."

#### ROCKEFELLER GARNERS LITTLE SUPPORT FOR MIDDLE EAST WAR SCARE

April 2 (IPS)--ICLC intelligence has determined that there exists

remarkably little sentiment in support for the Rockefeller-provoked Middle East war scare, in sharp contrast to the crisis mongering CIA-controlled commercial press.

The repeated denials of any belligerent intentions by both Israeli and Arab embassy officials interviewed by the ICLC underscores the fact that the current outbreak of "rising tension" in the Middle East is entirely a political fiction engineered by Rockefeller, Kissinger, and a small clique of U.S. "elder statesmen" in concert with key individual traitors within the governments of Israel, Syria, Egypt and the Arafat-led PLO.

#### CONGRESS CONSIDERS DUMPING ROCKY

April 3 (IPS)--Within hours after the U.S. Labor Party blanketed the United States Congress with its analysis and exposure of the Monday Rockefeller cabal meeting which planned a "Cuban missile" style crisis for the Mideast, Congressmen and Congressional aides began to quietly consider the possibility of removing the criminal incompetents in the government like Nelson Rockefeller and Henry Kissinger who were responsible for such conspiratorial plottings.

#### EUGENE ROSTOW CONFIRMS CABAL'S CONFRONTATION PLANS

April 4 (IPS)--In an exclusive interview with this press service today, Eugene Rostow--former Kennedy Administration National Security Advisor and current Rockefeller cabal confidante--insisted that the only way to regain foreign confidence in the United States was to force a confrontation with the Soviet Union. When asked if he thought that such a strategy might force the Soviets into a nuclear retaliation, Rostow demanded, "No, I disagree with that. The Soviets will take anything which is lying around cheap. But they only move when they know the U.S. is weak."

IPS reporters asked Mr. Rostow if that meant that the stakes had to be made higher. He responded, "That's right. It is only when they perceive great risks that they stop."

IPS asked Mr. Rostow if that meant that the stakes had to be made higher. He responded, "That's right. It is only when they perceive great risks that they stop."

The reporter then asked, "But what if the Soviets don't stop?" Mr. Rostow was obviously incapable of handling this question and launched into a long, historical rendition which "proved" that "the Soviets always stop, they always stop..."

#### LEBER DECLARS CONFRONTATION

April 4 (IPS)--Following is the text of an article to appear in the April 5 issue of the Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung which will include excerpts of a statement by West German Defense Minister Georg Leber:

"...The real conditions under which we live are unfortunately not determined by people who primarily orient themselves to the laws of ethics and morals, even if these ethical and moral ideas are their own. For them, the course of the world is determined by the course of arms and weapons, wherever and whenever they do desire.

"The so-called coexistence between communism and the free way of life exists for communism's expansionist ideology only as long as the plurality of ways of life, i.e. particularly the existence of the other ideology, cannot be conquered.

"...This confrontation will continue, and the West will only survive it if the population is aware of the danger and threat under which they live, and if they are ready to do whatever is necessary to remain capable of defending themselves against it... This also includes the readiness to accept sacrifices in order to ensure freedom and independence. Even America is not able to protect its people from the loss of freedom if the people themselves are not ready to make a reasonable contribution. This also includes the uninterrupted effort to consolidate the community of the free peoples of the West."

#### WEST GERMANS WARN 'FORCES' TRY TO INCITE TENSIONS AND CONFLICTS

April 5 (IPS)--In a speech celebrating the 30th Anniversary of Hungary's liberation from fascism, East German Communist Party Politburo member Kurt Hager yesterday accused "influential forces" in the west of striving to keep the "German question" open. Hager's speech, reported today in the East German daily Neues Deutschland, noted that "forces in the world, especially in Europe, are at work to incite dangerous tensions and conflicts. We meet these forces with active pursuit of our policies of security and peace,...with increased vigilance and defense readiness."

#### PENTAGON REPORTED TAKEN ABACK BY FORD'S VIET DEPLOYMENT

April 5 (IPS)--A UPI dispatch carried in today's Washington Post says "Pentagon officials appeared taken aback yesterday by President Ford's Thursday press conference announcement to deploy U.S. navy ships off the coast of South Vietnam because "there was clear explanation of what the ships were supposed to do."

#### WASHINGTON POST'S FRITCHEY CALLS FOR 'TEST OF DETENTE'

April 5 (IPS)--Washington Post columnist Clayton Fritchey writes today that "it is time to put the detente of the superpowers to a real test....(if) there is another outbreak of war in the Middle East, it will surely be the end of detente." Fritchey, a longtime conduit for policy statements of Secretary of State Kissinger, is publicizing the decision made at a meeting of top-level Rockefeller cabal members, including David Rockefeller, McGeorge Bundy, Robert McNamara, George Ball and Cyrus Vance, last Monday to push for a nuclear "brinkmanship" confrontation in the Mideast with the So-

viet Union.

#### PRESENT PRECARIOUS PSYCHOLOGICAL STATE OF ROCKEFELLER CABALIST DEAN RUSK

April 5 (IPS)--In an informal press conference today at the University of North Carolina, former Secretary of State Dean Rusk showed signs of complete psychological collapse. Rusk, who is presently serving as a member of the Rockefeller brain trust in carrying out the insane policy of nuclear brinkmanship against the Soviet Union, demonstrated that he possesses neither the internal moral authority nor the psychological stamina to handle a crisis in which the existence of the human race is at stake.

Rusk spoke in North Carolina fresh from a speaking engagement at Lehigh University in Pennsylvania where he had announced that United States policy is to convince the Soviets that the U.S. will go to any lengths to stop the "doctrine of world revolution." Today the presence of the U.S. Labor Party at the North Carolina press conference triggered a breakdown in Rusk; he was unable to articulate his position clearly, much less defend it.

#### GERMAN CABALIST MATTICK IN SHOCK OVER NUCLEAR CONFRONTATION

April 5 (IPS)--Kurt Mattick, Second International foreign policy expert from the German Social Democratic Party (SPD) today responded with shock and disbelief as an IPS spokesman briefed him on the latest Rockefeller cabal escalation toward nuclear confrontation between Nato and the Soviet Union. When IPS cited West German Defense Minister Leber's provocative speech two days ago calling detente a communist plot and calling upon the western populations to defend their "free way of life" as evidence of that escalation, Mattick shrieked, "What would be the purpose of such madness?....The Soviet Union needs detente....I must say, if I read Leber correctly, (his speech) is a provocation which I would rather spare myself. I am not a man, as you probably know, of any great love for the Soviet Union. But if I consider the policies of the Soviet Union in the past, I would not wish to put them in a position of having to make a pre-emptive strike."

#### GISCARD'S BROTHER-IN-LAW UNDERLINES NECESSITY OF INDEPENDENT EUROPEAN DEFENSE

April 5 (IPS)--Writing in today's Le Figaro, Alfred Fabre-Luce, brother-in-law of French President Valery Giscard d'Estaing, attacked French Communist Party (PCF) criticism of Giscard's nuclear defense policy, by declaring that the greatest threat to French security, the Soviet Union, has not changed since the Second World War. He warns that a Soviet "invasion westwards--into a country like Yugoslavia--is not less a danger than it was 20 years ago."

"The United States is in no way prepared or capable of intervening on behalf of its European allies in the event of Soviet nuclear attack or invasion," Fabre-Luce stated.

## WORKERS RESPOND TO ROCKY'S BRINKSMANSHIP BY JOINING FIGHT TO GET RID OF ROCKEFELLER

April 5 (IPS)--Within twelve hours after the Labor Committees publicized its analysis of Rockefeller's nuclear confrontation policy, workers in the US responded to Labor Party briefings with fighting rage at Rockefeller's insanity. In Chicago, workers who had fought in World War II against fascism said that "impeachment is too soft" for Rockefeller and his fascist cohorts. One worker best expressed his outrage and increased commitment to organize with the Labor Party by explaining Rockefeller's "critical choice": "...If you have a choice of being killed in a nuclear war or being killed under Rockefeller fascism, the choice is clear. We have to build the Labor Party."

## PSYCHOLOGICAL COLLAPSE OF TOP ROCKEFELLER POLICY MAKERS

April 6 (IPS)--In today's Washington Post, Henry Kissinger, chief architect of the Paris Peace Accords, acknowledged the psychological collapse of Rockefeller policy, stating: "It is not so much a question of what we would have done...it is a question of what the other side knew we could not possibly do." The Kissinger statement followed the "gloom and doom" presidential briefing by General Weyland on his return from South Vietnam. According to the Washington Post, President Ford is "considering the declining options available to him."

## FRENZIED SULZBERGER BLAMES CONGRESS FOR ROCKEFELLER PREDICAMENT

April 6 (IPS)--In the most hysterical piece to date by a servant of the Rockefeller cabal, New York columnist C.L. Sulzberger takes the reader back to the war of 1812 to try to make a case for the treachery of Congress in the collapse of foreign policy.

## MANSFIELD THREATENS U.S. RETRENCHMENT

April 6 (IPS)--According to today's New York Times, Senate Majority leader Mike Mansfield will call on Congress tomorrow "to organize, to regroup, to rethink and to put into effect the reassessment...." of foreign policy which Rocky and his cold war cabalists are trying to implement worldwide.

Mansfield is proposing that the reassessment of Middle East policy already begun be extended to all Southeast Asia, the Mediterranean, and Latin America. Attempting to provoke widespread hysteria over the threat of U.S. pullbacks, Mansfield said: "We are spread too far, too wide, too thin...."



## SOVIET PRESS DENOUNCES COLD WAR BRINKSMANSHIP

April 7 (IPS)--The Soviet Communist Party paper Pravda today criticized the anti-detente forces in the United States, who are "confusing North American public opinion with predictions of world catastrophe," according to UPI. East German radio Stimme Der DDR adds to this that Pravda praised those more sensible commentators in the U.S. who "consider the course of military dictatorship and the global support of reaction as inadvisable."

## ROCKEFELLER "BEST AND BRIGHTEST" TO MEET AGAIN ON MIDEAST

April 7 (IPS)--The office of Joseph Sisco, Undersecretary of State for Political Affairs, told IPS today that last Monday's top level meeting of key Rockefeller cabalists, including David Rockefeller, McGeorge Bundy, Douglas Dillon, and George Ball, will reconvene sometime within the week, after the return of Secretary of State Henry Kissinger from California. The meeting will firm up the cabal's plans for a "brinkmanship" confrontation with the Soviet Union in the Mideast.